

Substantial Convection and Precipitation Enhancements by Ultrafine Aerosol Particles

Jiwen Fan jiwen.fan@pnnl.gov

AGU 2018 Fall Meeting



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



Acknowledgement:

 D. Rosenfeld, Y. Zhang, S.E. Giangrande, Z. Li, L.A.T. Machado, S.T. Martin, Y. Yang, J. Wang, P. Artaxo, H.M.J. Barbosa, R.C. Braga, J.M. Comstock, Z. Feng, W. Gao, H.B. Gomes, F. Mei, C. Pöhlker, M.L. Pöhlker, U. Pöschl, R.A.F. de Souza



Convective invigoration

Andreae et al. (Science, 2004): observed delay in the onset of warm rain for pyro-clouds over Amazon in the dry season, hypothesizing convection can be invigorated due to the delay: "cold-phase invigoration"

Biomass burning: large particles



Fan et al. (Science, 2018): observed drastically enhanced updraft velocity and precipitation for convective storms influenced by urban pollution plume at the wet season of Amazon, mainly through : "warm-phase invigoration"

Urban pollution: small particles

 Many studies showed that meteorological factors such as wind shear, RH, and CAPE would modulate CCN impacts on DCCs (e.g., Fan et al. 2007, 2009, Khain et al.2005, 2009, Storer et al., 2010, van den Heever et al. 2011, Lebo and Morrison 2014).



Warm and humid tropics – much larger convective invigoration than mid-latitudes

Diff. in vertical Mass flux between polluted and clean conditions

Diff. in updraft area between clean and polluted conditions



 Many modeling studies (Fan et al. 2007; 2013, Storer and van den Heever 2013, Sheffield et al. 2015, Khain et al. 2008, 2012) showed significant convective invigoration in tropics due to enhanced condensational heating.

Uniqueness of GoAmazon

- Unique field campaign design to disentangle aerosol impacts from the impact of meteorological variables.
- Unique observational data: convective intensity from RWP and aerosol size distribution from 10 nm.
- Manifest the role of ultrafine aerosol particles (<50 nm; UAP) from urban plumes, generally thought be too small to be activated









Velocity from RWP Aerosol SD (size > 15 nm)

Observed enhancement of convective intensity and precipitation by aerosols

Carefully selected the locallyoccurring storm cases from the 2014 wet season over March-May: 17 DCCs with valid aerosol and convective core measurements

Pacific

Northwest

- Updraft velocity increases with an increase of aerosols counting *D* > 15 nm.
- However, the relationship with aerosols does not hold well when excluding aerosols smaller than 50 nm.





Further quantify the effect from the low to high aerosol groups

 Ultrafine aerosol particles smaller than 50 nm (UAP_{<50}) might be responsible for intensified convection and precipitation, not the aerosol particles larger than 50 nm (CCN_{>50})



6



Isolate aerosol effects from meteorological factors

D >15 nm: **pre-storm environment**

Large-scale convergence

15 500-1000 12 1000-1906 1900-3000 Height (km) 3000 cm⁻ 9 6 3 RH -60 -40 20 -20 40 60 80 100 0 0 20 40 Relative humidity (%) Temperature (°C) U 15-10-5 5 10 15 0 -15-10-5 0 10 15 5 V (m s⁻¹) U (m s⁻¹)



 Profiles of T, RH, and U- and V- wind as well as large-scale convergence indicate that none of them correlates with an increase of updraft intensity as UAP<50 increases.

WRF-SBM model simulations at cloud-resolving scale (0.5 km)

To see if model can simulate such substantial enhancements in convection

To reveal the mechanisms responsible for such large invigoration by UAP_{<50}

Conducted WRF with spectral-bin microphysics (WRF-SBM) for a typical wet season convective event on 17 March 2014 (0.5 km resolution)

Pacific

Northwest



Background: Manaus background (820 cm⁻³ UAP +130 cm⁻³ CCN₅₀)

Background + plume: Manaus background with Manaus plume (2460 cm⁻³ UAP +390 cm⁻³ CCN _{>50} for Manaus) Background_noUAP and Plume_noUAP are the corresponding cases by removing UAP 2°S

NOTE

Background_noUAP

represents Amazon pristine environment

Background+plume

represents current urban plume affected condition





Aerosol concentration after 1-day simulation



Validation of the baseline run: Background + plume

Pacific Northwest



Similarly large enhancement from model simulations



- Background+plume
- Plume_noUAP

Background_noUAP represents Amazon pristine environment

Background+plume represents current urban plume affected condition





- The observed large enhancements in convective intensity and precipitation by UAP_{<50} from Manaus pollution plume are reproduced.
- Corresponding to drastic decrease in supersaturation



Mechanism

Drop nucleation rate



Use **Background** (solid) and **Background_noUAP (dashed)** to illustrate

Condensate loading

In pristine regions like the Amazon, aerosol particles in the lower atmosphere are low in number concentration and large in size.





Features of "warmphase invigoration"

- Does not delay rain or suppress warm rain (in contrast to the effect of CCN_{>50})
- The effect is much more powerful compared to "cold-phase invigoration" because (a) the enhanced heat is much larger and (b) the heating is at the lower part of storm clouds.





Key factors for model to simulate convectiveinvigorationWRF-Chem with SBMWRF-Chem with SBM

- Predict aerosol size distribution including small mode (No fixed aerosol or droplet number)
- Resolve updrafts and predict supersaturation (No saturation adjustment)
- Droplet condensation and evaporation depend on supersaturation and droplet surface area (No saturation adjustment).





The problem with the piggybacking approach in examining feedback to dynamics

Grabowski, JAS (2015) and Grabowski Morrison, JAS (2016) denied invigoration with the piggybacking approach as below:

D: Driving. P: Piggybacking. H: High CCN. L: Low CCN

Pair 1:

- D_LowCCN = dyn_L + micro_L
- P_HighCCN = dyn_L + micro_H not a realistic run

= Microphysical effect under dyn_L due to increasing CCN from Low to High CCN (a)

Pair 2:

- D_HighCCN = dyn_H + micro_H
- P_LowCCN = dyn_H + micro_L not a realistic run

= Microphysical effect under **dyn_H** due to **decreasing** CCN from High to Low CCN (b)

If (a) and (b) are are opposite in signs and magnitudes are the same, it only means that the microphysical effect is the same under dyn_H as under dyn_L. We can not infer anything about relative magnitudes of dyn_H and dyn_L.

The dynamics effect = D_HighCCN – D_LowCCN

Ensemble simulations is a solution for more robust feedback to dynamics!



Summary Significance

This finding implies that from **pre-industrial times to the present day**, small aerosols from human activity may have significantly influenced storms **in warm and humid places through "warm-phase invigoration**".

The work would push the **atmospheric observation field to make progress** in measuring convective microphysics, vertical motion, and supersaturation in storms, all of which are very challenging.

Also would stimulate **more field campaigns over the warm and humid regions** to tackle this problem more robustly and systematically.

Geophysical Research Letters

Research Letter 🔂 Open Access 💿 🚺

Wildfire impact

Wildfire Impact on Environmental Thermodynamics and Severe Convective Storms

Yuwei Zhang, Jiwen Fan 🗙, Timothy Logan, Zhanqing Li, Cameron R. Homeyer

First published: 15 August 2019 | https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084534



PDF of updraft velocity





Effect of latent heat increase at different levels

