# Modeling Subgrid Transport



Jimy Dudhia
NCAR/MMM
Sept 11, 2019





# **Subgrid Transport**

- Model parameterizations that handle subgrid transport of scalars
  - Diffusion (horizontal and vertical)
    - Subgrid-scale eddies
  - PBL schemes (usually include/replace vertical diffusion)
    - Unresolved thermals
  - Cumulus parameterizations
    - Unresolved updrafts and downdrafts, also subsidence
  - Shallow convection schemes
    - May be included with PBL or cumulus schemes
    - Unresolved eddies or thermals (mixing or mass-flux types)

# **Subgrid Transport**

- Two categories of sub-grid transport
  - Local (diffusion-like)
    - Eddy mixing with neighboring cells (horizontally or vertically)
  - Nonlocal (thermal-like)
    - Sub-grid mass fluxes to non-neighboring levels
    - Represented in most PBL and cumulus schemes





nonlocal

# **Local Subgrid Transport**

- For any model resolution there are always sub-grid local eddies to be represented
  - Some models may include diffusive terms for numerical purposes in addition to physical diffusion
  - Even at high enough resolution for the dynamics to resolve thermals (dx ≤100 m) and updrafts (dx ≤1 km) a model needs subgrid diffusion to represent local subgrid eddies
  - Local eddy mixing also needs to parameterize the strength of turbulence that depends on the local stability and shear
    - horizontal diffusion is treated separately from PBL/vertical mixing in coarse-grid models ( $dx \gtrsim 1$  km)
    - a more unified approach is typical as horizontal and vertical resolutions become comparable (≤100 m LES 3d diffusion)
    - vertical diffusion in the free atmosphere may depend on Richardson number to represent clear-air turbulence or increased stratification



# **Local Subgrid Transport**


- Horizontal diffusion of scalars including tracers and chemical species
  - Horizontal diffusion is typically applied to all advected variables including scalars
  - It will also apply the same diffusion coefficients to all scalars as to the meteorological variables and therefore handles numerical and physical diffusion processes for them consistently



# **Nonlocal Subgrid Transport**

#### Used in

- EDMF type PBL schemes (thermals)
- mass-flux type cumulus schemes (updrafts)




Species removed from entraining levels and added to detraining levels at rate that depends on subgrid mass flux of air (ew<sub>u</sub> kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

# **Nonlocal Subgrid Transport**

#### Used in

- EDMF type PBL schemes (thermals)
- mass-flux type cumulus schemes (updrafts)



Species removed from entraining levels and added to detraining levels at rate that depends on subgrid mass flux of air (ew<sub>u</sub> kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)


# **Planetary Boundary Layer**

**Provides** 

Boundary layer fluxes (heat, moisture, momentum)


Vertical diffusion in whole column







# **Planetary Boundary Layer**





# **WRF PBL Options**

- Purpose is to distribute surface fluxes with boundary layer eddy fluxes and allow for PBL growth by entrainment
- Classes of PBL scheme
  - Turbulent kinetic energy prediction (Mellor-Yamada Janjic, MYNN, Bougeault-Lacarrere, TEMF, QNSE, CAM UW)
  - Diagnostic non-local (YSU, GFS, MRF, ACM2)
- Above PBL all these schemes also do vertical diffusion due to turbulence

#### Nonlocal PBL schemes

#### Non-local schemes have two main components

$$\overline{w'\phi'}^{\Delta} = -K_{\phi}^{(1)} \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}^{\Delta}}{\partial z} + F_{w\phi}^{NL}$$

- $\overline{w'\phi'}^{\Delta} = -K_{\phi} \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}^{\Delta}}{\partial z} + F_{w\phi}^{NL}$  (1) Term for local (L) transport by small eddies Term for nonlocal (NL) transport by large eddies large eddies




Fig. 1. Sketch of a convective updraft embedded in a turbulent eddy structure.


Explicitly included in nonlocal PBL parameterizations

(i.e., Mass-flux term or countergradient gamma)

Figure is taken from Siebesma et al. (2007, JAS)

#### **TKE** schemes

- Solve for TKE in each column
  - Buoyancy and shear production
  - Dissipation
  - Vertical mixing




 TKE (e) and length-scale (/) are used to determine the Kv for local vertical mixing

$$K_v \propto e^{1/2} I$$

- Schemes differ most in diagnostic length-scale computations
- TKE schemes are mostly local, but some have been coupled with mass-flux schemes for thermals

#### **Nonlocal Schemes**

- Diagnose a PBL top (either stability profile or Richardson number)
- Specify a K profile
  - E.g. cubic function of z with max in mid-PBL
- YSU, MRF, GFS include a non-gradient term (Γ)
  - YSU also has explicit entrainment term
- ACM2, TEMF, EDMF include a mass-flux profile, N
  an additional updraft flux



$$\frac{\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{L}} \overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\otimes} K_{v} \overset{\mathscr{T}}{\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{L}}} Q + M(Q_{u} - Q) \overset{\ddot{0}}{\otimes}$$


# **Vertical Mixing Coefficient**

- Most PBL schemes in WRF also output exch\_h which is Kv for scalars that is used by WRF-Chem
- PBL schemes themselves only mix limited variables: momentum, heat, vapor and some specific cloud variables

#### PBL Schemes with Shallow Convection

- Some PBL schemes include shallow convection as part of their parameterization
- These use mass-flux approaches either
  - through the whole cloud-topped boundary layer (QNSE-EDMF and TEMF)
  - only from cloud base (GBM and UW PBL)
- YSU has top-down mixing option for turbulence driven by cloud-top radiative cooling which is separate from bottom-up surface-flux-driven mixing and adds to the K profile

# Model Grid Spacing: PBL and LES



#### For coarse grid spacing

- ✓ PBL schemes have been designed for Δ >> I
- ✓ All eddies are sub-grid
- ✓ 1d column schemes handle sub-grid vertical fluxes

#### For fine grid spacing

- ✓ LES schemes have been designed for ∆ << I</p>
- √ All major eddies are resolved
- √ 3d turbulence schemes handle sub-grid mixing



# **Grey-Zone PBL**

- "Grey Zone" is sub-kilometer grids
  - PBL and LES assumptions not perfect
- Some newer schemes are being designed for sub-kilometer transition scales (200 m – 1 km), e.g.
  - Nonlocal mixing (gamma) term reduces in strength as grid size gets smaller and resolved mixing increases (Shin and Hong 2014)
  - or turbulence/diffusion transitions from vertical 1d to 3d
- Other PBL schemes may work in this range but will not have correctly partitioned resolved/sub-grid energy fractions

### **Large-Eddy Simulation**

- For grid sizes of up to about 100 m, LES is preferable
- LES treats turbulence three-dimensionally instead of separate vertical (PBL) and horizontal diffusion schemes
- Prognostic TKE and diagnostic (e.g. Smagorinsky) options exist for the sub-grid turbulence
- At these scales, nonlocal mixing is not needed because thermals are resolved well enough for explicit transport by the dynamics (advection)


#### **Cumulus Parameterization**

**Provides** 

Atmospheric heat and moisture/cloud tendency profiles
Surface sub-grid-scale (convective) rainfall



# Model Grid Spacing: Cumulus Parameterization and Cloud-Resolving



#### For coarse grid spacing

- Cumulus parameterization
   schemes have been designed
   for Δ >> I
- ✓ All updrafts and downdrafts are sub-grid
- √ 1d column schemes handle sub-grid vertical fluxes

#### For fine grid spacing

- ✓ Resolved dynamics and microphysics work for Δ << I</p>
- ✓ Updrafts and downdrafts are resolved
- ✓ PBL and/or diffusion schemes handle local sub-grid mixing



# **Illustration of Cumulus Processes** detrainment updraft compensating subsidence downdraft entrainment boundary layer

#### **Cumulus Schemes**

- Use for grid columns that completely contain convective clouds (typically dx > 10 km)
- Re-distribute air in column to account for vertical convective fluxes – inherently nonlocal transports
  - Updrafts take boundary layer air upwards
  - Downdrafts take mid-level air downwards
- Schemes have to determine
  - When to trigger a convective column
  - How fast to make the convection act

# **Deep Convection**

- Schemes work in individual columns that are considered convectively unstable
- Mass-flux schemes transport surface air to top of cloud and include environmental subsidence around clouds
  - Note: schemes have no net mass flux subsidence compensates cloud mass fluxes exactly
  - Environmental subsidence around cloud warms and dries troposphere removing instability over time
  - Dynamics may produce mean vertical motion in grid cell in response to scheme's heating profile
- Additionally downdrafts may also have mass fluxes and modify the PBL

#### **Shallow Convection**

- Non-precipitating shallow mixing dries PBL, moistens and cools above
- This can be done by an enhanced mixing approach or massflux approach
- May be useful at grid sizes that do not resolve shallow cumulus clouds (> 1 km)

#### **Shallow Convection**

- Cumulus schemes may include shallow scheme
- Standalone shallow schemes exist
- Part of PBL schemes with mass-flux method

# **Chemistry and Subgrid Transport**

- Chemistry model uses
  - Local: K coefficient from PBL/diffusion schemes in model
    - Done in vertmx and mixactivate
    - Note this is a local mixing with some assumptions (see next slide)
  - Nonlocal Γ term when used by PBL for heat and moisture is not applied to chemistry – only enhanced K profile
    - Justifiable to ignore if species is not correlated with surface buoyancy
    - If species has a surface flux may need to consider adding this
  - Nonlocal mass flux profiles from cumulus schemes (deep or shallow) when provided
    - WRF-Chem uses
      - Routine grelldrvct module\_ctrans\_grell for cu\_physics > 0 or
      - KF-CuP option from PNNL module\_chem\_cup
  - EDMF type PBL schemes could also provide mass flux profiles



# **Chemistry and Local Subgrid Transport**

 K-theory assumes flux is proportional to local gradient and that the quantity is conserved during diffusion


$$\overline{w'\phi'} = K \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$$

- However in presence of fast chemical reactions, gradients may be partially due to chemical reactions near emission sources
- Ideally diffusion should not act fully on chemistry-produced gradients because part of gradient is due to sources and sinks
  - Either modify flux calculation (e.g. reactive K) or only apply diffusion to conserved totals for fast reacting species
- There may be similar considerations for advection when reaction time scales are short compared to advective time scale (better to advect conserved quantities)

# **Chemistry and Nonlocal Subgrid Transport**

#### Choices

- Extract mass flux profile from cumulus (or EDMF) scheme to be used in chemical species transport (insoluble trace gases and aerosols)
- Add chemical species within physics (e.g. cumulus schemes) for more consistency
- This assumes some conservation during transport
- May need to to account for processes happening during transport (~1000 seconds)



# Summary

- Subgrid-scale transport terms need to be provided by the physics to consistently transport chemical species
- PBL schemes can provide at least K profiles in PBL and through free atmosphere in column but nonlocal terms not included
- Shallow cumulus schemes also need to be considered
  - EDMF and enhanced mixing approaches
- However complexity of some schemes may make it better for consistency to transport chemical species within them rather than trying to extract mass flux profiles
  - Ongoing efforts with select physics for WRF/MPAS

# End



