What causes the observed surface O₃-temperature relationship? Effect of the eddy-driven jet on surface-level transport



There are reported differences in the sign and magnitude of the correlation coefficient calculated between ozone (O_3) and temperature, r (T, O₃), and the slope of O₃ versus temperature, dO_3/dT . Studies have largely focused on populated regions such as Eastern North America (e.g., Rasmussen et al. 2012), but there have not been studies of spatial variations of the O₃-temperature relationship across the globe.

- \rightarrow What is the O₃-temperature relationship across the **Northern Hemisphere?**
- → What processes control spatial variations in the **O**₃-temperature relationship?



Modeled O₃: NASA's Global Modeling Initiative chemical transport model (GMI CTM) simulations (1° lat x 1.25° lon) with daily variations in temperature-dependent chemistry and emissions and without these variations ("transport-only simulation")

Temperature: Daily maximum 2-meter temperature $(0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ})$ from MERRA-2 data

Eddy-driven jet: Defined as the latitude of maximum 500 hPa U-wind (0.5° x 0.625°) from hourly MERRA-2 data

Observed O₃: Hourly, station-based observations derived from the Air Quality System (United States), National Air Pollution Surveillance (Canada), and European Monitoring the Evaluation Programme networks

Cyclones: Extratropical cyclone center locations from the MAP Climatology of Mid-latitude Storminess database

Our analysis focuses on the Northern Hemisphere (with an emphasis on the mid-latitudes) for JJA 2008-2010.

Hourly data are sampled during the early afternoon (1300-1400 hours local time).

Statistical significance of r (T, O₃) is evaluated with a two-sided Student's *t*-test for autocorrelated data.



Gaige Hunter Kerr

Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University Interests: Air pollution, atmospheric composition and transport



Darryn W. Waugh

Professor, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University



in (a-b) indicate significance with α = 0.05. Error bars show the mean latitude and variability of the jet.

Temperature and O_3 are significantly positively correlated in the mid-latitudes, and elsewhere the correlations are insignificant or significantly anticorrelated (**Fig. 1a**).

The latitudinal gradient of the observed values of r (T, O₃) across four regions in the Northern Hemisphere supports the simulated values from the GMI CTM (**Fig. 2**).



Fig. 2. Observed and zonally-averaged modeled $r(T, O_3)$ over four continental regions indicated in the panels. The dashed grey lines delineates positive from negative values of $r(T, O_3)$. The scatter points and error bars show the the jet latitude and its variability.

Kerr et al. (2019) demonstrated that transport, not chemistry or emissions, controls the O_3 -temperature relationship on daily timescales in the United States.

The transport-only simulation shows that transport drives the O₃-temperature relationship, shown in terms of r (T, O₃) in Fig. 3, across the Northern Hemisphere.



Fig. 3. $r(T, O_3)$ from the transport-only GMI CTM simulation. Hatching denotes regions where significant correlations in **Fig. 1a** became insignificant in the transport-only simulation.

Surface O_3 variability and r (T, O_3) have been linked to the eddy-driven jet stream latitude over Eastern North America (e.g., Barnes and Fiore 2013; Shen et al. 2015).

(**Fig. 1**).

20 -
10 -
0 -
-10 -
-20 - -1

Key findings:

• The correlation between O_3 and temperature is strongly positive in the Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes with insignificant correlations elsewhere

- Transport drives the O₃-temperature relationship
- The eddy-driven jet and its effect on transient cyclones play a major role in mid-latitude surface-level temperature and composition



Role of the eddy-driven jet

We find that $r(T, O_3)$ peaks at the latitude of the jet across the Northern Hemisphere

Regardless of whether the jet is in a poleward (PW) or equatorward (EW) position, aboveaverage O_3 concentrations reside south of the jet and below-average concentrations north of the jet (**Fig. 4**).





Jet-cyclone relationship

The movement of the jet impacts surface-level temperature and O_3 by altering cyclone tracks (Leibensperger et al. 2008).

The frequency of surface-level cyclones shifts with changes in the jet latitude (**Fig. 5a**), meaning that there are fewer cyclones EW of the jet if the jet is PW. This, in turn, increases O_3 and temperature EW of the jet (**Fig. 5b-c**).



Fig. 5. Difference in (a) cyclone frequency, (b) O_3 , and (c) temperature for days with PW and EW jets. The mean latitude and variability of PW and EW jets are shown for reference.

Regions within the range of the jet that obey the flow diagram shown alongside **Fig. 5** have $r(T, O_3) > 0.$

Future work will better understand the O_3 -temperature relationship outside of the mid-latitudes and the effects of cyclones and their impact on ventilation.

Thanks to members of the GMI Science Team at NASA GSFC for their involvement with modeling efforts.

References:

Barnes and Fiore (2013). *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 2839. Kerr et al. (2019). J. Geophys. Res., in press. Leibensperger et al. (2008). Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2075. Rasmussen et al. (2012). Atmos. Environ., 47, 142. Shen et al. (2015). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10925.